SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, MAY 25, 2011 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S086578

PEOPLE v. LOOT (KENDRICK) & MILLSAP (BRUCE)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Manuel J. Baglanis's representation that he anticipates filing appellant Bruce Millsap's opening brief by December 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 22, 2011. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 150 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S089478

PEOPLE v. MAI (HUNG THANH)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender C. Delaine Renard's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by March 28, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 26, 2011. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 240 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S092240

PEOPLE v. BOYCE (KEVIN DEWAYN)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Douglas Ward's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by December 31, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 26, 2011. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 160 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S101247

PEOPLE v. VARGAS (EDUARDO DAVID)

Extension of time granted

Appellant's request for relief from default is granted.

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to June 20, 2011.

S115378

PEOPLE v. WOODRUFF (STEVE)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Dennis C. Cusick's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by September 1, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 1, 2011. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S158842

BARNWELL (LAMAR) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Geraldine S. Russell's representation that she anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by June 1, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to August 1, 2011. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 300 additional days are contemplated.

S167792

LOKER (KEITH THOMAS) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Charles C. Ragland's representation that he anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by December 29, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to August 1, 2011. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 150 additional days are contemplated.

S183301

LENOIR (BOEWRELL) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to informal response is extended to June 18, 2011.

S185166

VARGAS (MATTHEW FELIX) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to June 24, 2011.

S185322

ALCARAZ (LUIS A.) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to June 22, 2011.

S190230 F059134 Fifth Appellate District

IN RE L.L.

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to June 30, 2011.

S191240 H032866 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. GONZALES (RAMIRO)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to June 27, 2011.

S192370 A127839 First Appellate District, Div. 3

KATONA (MARIO PATRICK) v. CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to answer to petition for review is extended to May 31, 2011.

S193376 B221108 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. ALARCON (RUBEN)

Order filed

The time for granting review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to June 21, 2011. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(c).) This order is hereby ordered nunc pro tunc as of May 23, 2011.

H036858 Sixth Appellate District FORTE (EUGENE) v.
LICHTENEGGER (LARRY)

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District.

SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, MAY 25, 2011 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

The Supreme Court of California convened in the courtroom of the Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on Wednesday, May 25, 2011, at 9:00 a.m.

Present: Chief Justice Tani Cantil-Sakauye, presiding, and Associate Justices Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, and Corrigan.

NOTE: In light of the current vacancy on the California Supreme Court, a different justice of the Court of Appeal has been assigned to participate in each case scheduled for argument, pursuant to the court's established alphabetical rotation procedure.

Officers present: Frederick K. Ohlrich, Clerk, and Gail Gray, Calendar Coordinator.

The Honorable Jeffrey W. Johnson, Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division One, sitting on the following case under assignment by the Chairperson of the Judicial Council, joined the court at the bench.

S182508 Seabright Insurance Company, Plaintiff and Appellant,

V

U.S. Airways, Inc., Defendant and Respondent; Anthony Verdon Lujan, Intervener and Appellant.

Cause called. Kymberly E. Speer argued for Respondent. Gary Watt argued for Amicus Curiae California Building Industry Association.

Barry W. Ponticello argued for Appellant Seabright Insurance Company.

Michael D. Padilla argued for Appellant Anthony Verdon Lujan.

Ms. Speer replied. Cause submitted.

Justice Johnson, not participating in consideration of the following case, will not join the bench.

The Honorable Terence L. Bruiniers, Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Five, sitting on the following case under assignment by the Chairperson of the Judicial Council, joined the court at the bench.

S065467 The People, Respondent,

V.

Ronald Bruce Mendoza, Appellant.

Cause called. Denise Kendall, Office of the Public Defender-

appointed Counsel, argued for Appellant.

Blythe J. Leszkay, Office of the Attorney General, argued for

Respondent.

Ms. Kendall replied. Cause submitted.

Court adjourned.